IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE CHATTANOOGA DIVISION

LISA A. HOUSE,

Plaintiff,

* NO. 1:17-cv-220

-vs-

UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA and UNUM GROUP CORP., *

Defendants.

APRIL 17, 2018

VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6)DEPOSITION OF LAURA KILMARTIN

Janet P. Tilley, LCR Hall & Associates Post Office Box 428 Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401 (423)267-4328

APPEARING FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

HUDSON T. ELLIS, ESQUIRE ERIC BUCHANAN & ASSOCIATES 414 MCCALLIE AVENUE CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37402 (423)634-2506

APPEARING FOR THE DEFENDANT:

JAMES T. WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE
TAMRA HARRIS, ESQUIRE
MILLER & MARTIN
832 GEORGIA AVENUE, SUITE 1000
CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37402
(423)756-6600

VIDEOGRAPHER:

TIM PRAIRIE
AV DIGITAL, LLC
5958 SNOW HILL ROAD, SUITE 144, #150
OOLTEWAH, TENNESSEE 37363
(423)238-9260

```
All right. Did you have any role in
 1
 2
    modifying any of the procedures related to recording
 3
    an IME?
              I don't recall specifically being involved
 4
 5
    in the modification of that document, of writing any
 6
    changes to that document. In the course of being in
 7
    the policy and procedure organization for ten years,
    I can't say I never made any revisions to it, but I
 8
    don't recall any specific revisions I made.
10
              Okay. Well, let's get started with the
11
    actual line items. And we're going to start with
12
    number 6, which is "The interpretation of the policy
13
    language at issue regarding the scheduling and
14
    conducting of IMEs ordered by Unum."
15
              This is a copy of the policy, I believe.
16
    Would you look at that?
17
              (Thereupon, a short pause was had.)
18
    BY MR. ELLIS:
19
              All right. Have you reviewed that before
20
    today?
2.1
              I have, yes.
2.2
              When was that policy written?
23
    Α
              This policy effective date was October of
24
    1989.
25
              Okay. And when was it last revised?
```

```
I don't -- it appears that it was last --
 1
 2
    its last anniversary was January 1 of 1998.
 3
              Okay. Are you familiar with the terms and
 4
    the provisions in that policy?
 5
              Yes, I am.
 6
              Reading through the policy, on any of the
 7
    pages or in any of the provisions, it does not state
    anywhere that Ms. House is not allowed to bring a
 8
    witness to an examination ordered by Unum, does it?
10
              I don't believe that specific language is
11
    in the policy.
12
              And in a similar vein, the policy, in its
    provisions or its terms, does not state anywhere
13
    that Ms. House is not allowed to record or have
14
    recorded the examination ordered by Unum, does it?
15
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
16
                   Go ahead.
17
              I don't see those specific words in the
18
19
    policy.
20
              There's no words in the policy about
21
    having a witness at all, is there?
2.2
              The policy speaks to the company's right
    to order an IME as necessary. It doesn't speak to
23
24
    the employee specifically.
25
    0
             But the question was: There's no mention
```

```
of whether or not a witness can be brought to an
 1
    examination at all in the policy, is there?
 2
              I don't believe that specific topic is
 3
    covered in the policy.
 4
 5
              And neither is the topic of a recording
 6
    addressed at all in the policy?
 7
              I don't believe it is.
 8
              Is it?
              I don't see that. I don't see that in the
 9
10
    policy.
11
              Okay. And Unum wrote that policy,
12
    correct?
1.3
              Yes.
14
              Unum should make sure that the policies it
15
    writes are unambiguous, you would agree?
16
              I believe that the policy cannot speak to
17
    every specific situation; so I think to the
18
    situations -- you know, a contract, its goal is not
19
    to be ambiguous. However, it can't speak to every
20
    specific situation.
2.1
                   MR. WILLIAMS: And let me interpose
2.2
         an objection. To the extent you are asking
23
         about provisions other than the ones that are
24
         at issue in this case, I am going to object
25
         that she's not been noticed to present that
```

scheduling and conducting of IMEs ordered by 1 2 Unum." 3 And to the extent you are asking this witness about other provisions or other aspects 4 5 of the policy, we object to that testimony 6 being binding on behalf of the company, because that's not what she was noticed here for. 7 8 To the extent the questions are 9 limited to the topics, she can speak on behalf 10 of the company. 11 MR. ELLIS: Thank you, James. 12 BY MR. ELLIS: When Ms. House looks at this policy, which 13 14 is the policy that she is covered under with Unum, is there anything on the face of the policy that 15 16 will tell her whether or not she can bring a witness to the examination that Unum orders? 17 18 MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form. The policy does not have specific language 19 20 with regard to whether you can bring somebody to 21 the -- to the examination. 22 Okay. And same question: If Ms. House is 23 looking at the policy and she is deciding whether or 24 not to bring somebody to record the examination, is 25 there anywhere in the policy that covers her that

```
tells her whether or not she can have that
 1
    examination recorded?
 2.
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 3
 4
         Objection, asked and answered.
 5
              This policy provision speaks only to the
 6
    company's -- who will pay for the examination and
 7
    who has the right to request an examination. So it
 8
    doesn't speak one way or the other to recording the
    examination.
 9
10
              So the answer to the question, though, is
11
    that there's nothing in this policy that would tell
    her one way or the other whether she can bring a
12
    witness or record the examination?
1.3
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
14
              There is nothing in this -- in this
15
16
    policy, yes.
17
                   MR. ELLIS: Then let's move to Item
18
         Number 7. And that's "Defendants' policies,
19
         procedures, and/or training materials related
20
         to recording and/or witnessing IMEs."
2.1
              (Thereupon, a document was marked
              and subsequently attached hereto as
2.2
              Exhibit No. 3.)
23
    BY MR. ELLIS:
24
              Would you take a look amount this
2.5
    document? I will represent to you that these are
```

```
a second. Before we get there, I want to ask you:

Are there any forms that Unum uses that would relate
to a request for the examination to be recorded or
witnessed?
```

And by "forms," I'm not trying to trick you here. The form I'm actually asking you about, or will be asking you about, is the form that Unum sends to the actual doctor who will be performing the examination.

Do any of those forms refer to whether or not a claimant can have a recording or a witness?

A I don't know what's stated on the form.

The procedure indicates that, if that is permitted, to indicate that in the special-handling section of the form. So I don't know, without looking at the form specifically, what the form says.

- Q Okay. Is there form language Unum uses to allow a recording or a witnessing of an examination?
- 19 A I don't know.

2.

- 20 | Q Who would know?
- 21 A I don't have the form in front of me. If
 22 you -- if you looked at the form online, whether
 23 that language is there or is not there, would tell
 24 us.
- 25 | Q It's in a database?

23

```
Is there a name for that form?
 1
 2
              One section appears to be the IME Process
 3
    and Privacy Agreement. I don't know if the entire
 4
    packet is considered one -- has one title as a form.
 5
              Okay. Is it generally sent as a packet,
 6
    or is it generally sent, you know, one document at a
 7
    time?
              My basic understanding is that it is sent
 9
    as a packet.
              Okay. Is there anywhere in that packet
10
11
    that says whether or not a claimant is allowed to
    have a witness or to record the examination?
12
              I haven't read it in detail.
1.3
14
                   MR. ELLIS: Sure. Take your time.
15
              (Thereupon, a short pause was had.)
16
              There's a statement on the process and
17
    privacy agreement, number 8, that indicates the IME
18
    provider agrees to notify Unum -- to notify the
19
    independent assessment network at Unum immediately
20
    prior to the IME if video, audio, or other recording
21
    methods are to be utilized during the exam.
2.2
    BY MR. ELLIS:
23
              Okay.
24
              On the next page of the same document,
25
    Number 4, the IME provider agrees to the following
```

```
recorded or, you know, physician or -- meetings
 1
 2
    between physicians and patients are not recorded or
 3
    do not have witnesses, I don't know that there's any
 4
    expectation that would be proactively communicated.
 5
              This is not a usual doctor's appointment,
 6
    though, is it?
 7
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 8
              It is an independent examination, but it
    is a medical examination between a physician and a
 9
10
    patient.
11
              But it isn't, though -- right? -- because
12
    the form that you send to the doctor specifically
    says that this is not his patient, correct?
13
14
              There is no patient-physician
    relationship, because it is an independent
15
16
    examination.
              So, again, this is not a typical "claimant"
17
18
    going to see a doctor to be evaluated type of a
19
    situation, is it?
20
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
21
              I -- I believe it's a medical examination.
2.2
    The circumstances as to how they are seeing that
23
    particular physician may be different.
24
              This is an examination that is
25
    specifically related to Unum's investigation about
```

```
their eligibility for benefits, isn't it?
 1
 2.
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 3
              In general, we are -- per our policy, you
 4
    know, we have the right to examine an -- in an
 5
    independent manner with an independent physician.
 6
              But the question was: The purpose of this
    examination is to help Unum assess whether somebody
 7
    should be receiving disability benefits, correct?
 8
 9
                   MR. WILLIAMS: I'm going to object to
10
         the form. You're -- again, we're getting off
11
         topic here. We're not talking about recordings
12
         and policies related to recordings.
1.3
              You may answer the question.
14
              Could you repeat the question, please?
              Sure. The examination that Unum is asking
15
16
    the claimant to go to is an examination it's going
    to use to determine whether that claimant is
17
18
    entitled to benefits, correct?
19
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
20
         Same objection. This is not within the topics
21
         and not on behalf of the company.
2.2
    Α
              It is a piece of information that we will
23
    use in our -- in our claim administration, yes.
24
              That's the purpose of the examination?
25
    A
             Is to gather information, correct.
```

```
have the examination witnessed.
 1
 2.
              Would you look at the -- Exhibit 3, the
    claims manual portions? What portion of this
 3
    exhibit refers to requests to have an examination
 4
 5
    recorded or witnessed?
 6
              The procedure -- procedure titled
 7
    "Recording Conversations and Independent
 8
    Assessments."
 9
              And that's -- looking at the bottom
10
    right-hand corner, that starts at page 21?
11
    Α
              Correct.
12
              All right. Is there anything else in the
    other pages in Exhibit 3 that referred to recording
13
14
    or having an examination witnessed?
              Nothing specific that I'm aware of.
15
    A
16
              Now, Unum says in its claims manual that a
17
    recording can be very convincing evidence, correct?
18
              I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
              At the top of the paragraph -- the first
19
20
    paragraph in the Policy section, it says that "An
21
    electronic recording of a conversation or IA" --
2.2
    independent assessment -- "can be very convincing"
23
    evidence." Is that right?
24
              Yes, correct.
25
             In what way is a recording convincing
```

```
evidence?
 1
 2
              Again, assuming -- because the next part
 3
    goes on to say that it's easy to alter the
 4
    recording; so, assuming it's an unaltered recording,
    it -- it shows the specific activities that took
 5
 6
    place.
 7
             Okay. And the activities would include
 8
    what?
 9
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
10
         I don't -- I'm sorry. Could you be more
11
    specific?
              Well, the activities would include -- you
12
    just used a broad term, "the activities."
13
14
              So I -- I was referring to the activities
    of an independent assessment. So tasks that are
15
16
    done or conversations between the physician and the
17
    patient.
18
              Okay. And having a record of that would
19
    be convincing how? What would it convince?
20
              I think, you know, again, having a
21
    electronic recording of a conversation or IA can be
2.2
    convincing evidence as it indicates what occurred at
23
    that time.
24
            And it would be an exact recording. It
25
    would show what happened rather than just be notes
```

```
or a summary of what occurred, correct?
 1
 2
              It would, in fact, show what happened when
 3
    people knew they were being recorded.
 4
              Okay. What interest does Unum have in
 5
    refusing to allow a recording?
 6
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 7
              We generally decline the request for a
 8
    recording for a couple of reasons. First, as stated
    here, that there is the possibility that it could be
 9
    altered. So we want to be aware of that.
10
              Our larger concern is that this is an
11
12
    independent assessment. This is not a physician
13
    employee of Unum. So this is an independent
    assessment. So having the recording in there
14
    indicates that there is -- that it is not
15
16
    independent, which is untrue.
              And, in addition, when two individuals are
17
18
    being recorded, when there's a witness, whenever you
    introduce kind of a third party, that can alter the
19
20
    dynamics of the individuals. It could, you know,
21
    change the process of what could have happened or
2.2
    what would have happened. And so there's just a
23
    dynamic there of introducing a party into a
24
    patient-physician meeting that we -- we decline
25
    whenever not allowed to do so by state law,
```

```
generally.
 1
              But, again, this is not a -- sorry.
 2
 3
              Generally.
 4
              Again, this is not a patient-physician
 5
    meeting, correct?
 6
              It's an independent assessment between a
 7
    physician and a patient.
 8
              And a claimant?
              And a claimant.
 9
              I think we need to be careful about using
10
11
    the word "patient," because it's very specific that
12
    the doctor is not entering into a patient-physician
    relationship, right?
1.3
14
              Correct.
              Okay. So we're already, you would agree,
15
16
    introducing an unusual aspect in this
    claimant-physician relationship, because it's not
17
18
    actually a patient-physician relationship, right?
19
              It is not a patient-physician
20
    relationship, correct.
2.1
              So this is an unusual meeting to begin
2.2
    with, correct?
23
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
24
              Again, an independent assessment is usual
25
    in the course of a disability claim assessment.
```

```
And you keep on using the term
 1
 2
    "independent." But Unum is paying for this
    physician, correct?
 3
 4
              Unum is paying, correct.
 5
              And this physician is part of Unum's
 6
    network of physicians that it will refer claimants
 7
    to be evaluated, correct?
 8
              I don't know that I would agree with --
 9
    with "Unum's network." There is -- there is a
10
    network of independent physicians that -- it is not
11
    affiliated with Unum.
12
              Okay. But that doctor's name is on Unum's
13
    list of doctors to send claimants to be evaluated,
14
    correct?
15
              I'm not specifically sure of the process
16
    of choosing the independent -- the independent
17
    physician.
18
              You mentioned that the first reason would
19
    be that your -- Unum has a concern that a recording
20
    could be altered, correct?
2.1
              Correct.
    Α
2.2
              There are various ways that Unum could
23
    make sure that the video is not altered, correct?
24
              I don't know.
25
              Well, could it hire a professional
```

```
1
    videographer?
 2
              Unum's process and policy is that we
 3
    decline the request for recording. So if we don't
 4
    want others to record them, we generally do not
 5
    record them ourselves.
 6
              Could a claimant have a professional
 7
    videographer record the session and the videographer
 8
    attest to the completeness of the record?
 9
              Hypothetically speaking, that -- again,
10
    that would be against our policy, which is to
11
    decline requests for recording.
12
              Generally?
1.3
              Generally, yes.
14
              So just to be clear, though, Unum does
    allow recordings of examinations on occasion?
15
16
              In specific situations, the most common of
    which is when state law requires it.
17
18
              But not always, correct?
              I can speak to the high-level policies and
19
20
    procedures that are in place and not any specific
21
    claim situations.
2.2
              It would be against Unum's policy and a
23
    claims handler would be reprimanded for allowing an
24
    examination to be recorded if state law does not
25
    require it. Is that Unum's position?
```

```
MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 1
 2
              I would not go that far. No. There --
 3
    the procedure states that if -- that our first
 4
    statement is that we would decline the request.
 5
    the claimant insists and wants a recording, then the
 6
    DBS -- the disability benefits specialist -- would
 7
    go to their DLR -- their designated legal
 8
    resource -- to have the conversation. And, again,
    things that are considered, such as state law, would
 9
    be considered. And then the DLR would look at the
10
11
    specific facts and circumstances of the claim.
12
    that is the expected process that a DBS would
1.3
    follow.
              And, certainly, if state law required Unum
14
    to allow someone to have a witness or recording, it
15
16
    would do so, correct?
17
              Correct.
              But there's nothing in the procedure that
18
    says that, absent such a state law, a request like
19
20
    that is categorically denied, is there?
2.1
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
2.2
              I think the policy does not state that it
23
    is categorically denied. It states that they should
24
    seek legal input and resource.
25
             And the legal input and resource could
```

```
say, "Yes. We will allow it"?
 1
 2.
              They could. I don't know under the
 3
    circumstances there -- there are reasons relating
 4
    back to the specific claim that they would consider.
 5
              Well, we'll get to that, because that's
 6
    actually the last topic that you're here to testify
 7
    about. We'll wait on that one.
              But getting back to altering. You can
 8
 9
    have a videographer there either at Unum's expense
10
    or the claimant's, correct?
11
              That would be against our overriding
12
    policy that we do not record.
1.3
              But outside of the policy, if a
14
    professional videographer was there recording, there
15
    would not be a concern that the video was altered,
    would there?
16
17
              I don't know.
18
              Okay. You could also request a copy of
19
    the entire recording from the claimant if you feel
20
    like it has been altered, correct?
2.1
              These are all circumstances that could
2.2
    happen if we did not follow our process and the IME
23
    was recorded.
24
              But you said the process does not exclude
25
    the possibility that somebody could have their
```

```
request granted, correct?
 1
 2
              That are circumstances, as outlined in
 3
    policy, where that request could be granted.
 4
              And if they followed those processes and
    allowed the recording, there are ways that Unum
 5
 6
    could make sure that the recording, the video or
    audio, was the full recording, correct?
 7
 8
              Yes, I believe that's correct.
              Okay. Including even sending the
 9
    recording to the doctor to have him review it and
10
11
    say, "Yes. That is the complete recording."
12
    Correct?
              That would address one of the concerns
1.3
    with -- with recording the assessment.
14
15
              Okay. And, in fact, in an ERISA case,
16
    which -- this is an ERISA case, correct?
17
              I don't know.
18
              Okay. If it were an ERISA case, Unum
19
    would have a copy of the recording before it issued
20
    a final denial -- well, strike that.
21
              Are you familiar with ERISA?
2.2
    Α
              Yes.
23
              When Unum issues a final denial, the
24
    record -- the administrative record closes; is that
25
    right?
```

```
MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form as
 1
 2
         being outside the scope of the topics and not
 3
         binding on the company.
 4
              And so when Unum issues that denial, the
 5
    claimant could not then produce additional evidence
 6
    after the fact absent extraordinary circumstances?
 7
              This is getting to be a very hypothetical
 8
    situation.
 9
              Well, what I'm trying to get at is that
10
    Unum has multiple ways of ensuring that the video
11
    that is taken is not altered.
12
              Is there a question? I'm sorry.
              Do you agree that Unum has multiple ways
13
    of ensuring that a video that is taken at the time
14
    of the examination is not altered?
15
16
              I would say there are ways that you have
    outlined, yes.
17
18
              Okay. And those would be ways that would
    be satisfactory to confirm that this is not an
19
20
    altered video-recording?
21
              That's correct. And that would address
2.2
    one of the concerns.
23
              You mentioned a larger concern, that
24
    the -- in your view, the examination is independent,
25
    and you believe that it would alter that; is that
```

```
1
    correct?
 2.
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 3
    Α
              Yes.
 4
              Okay. Is that mentioned anywhere in this
 5
    policy and procedure?
 6
              I don't believe, specifically, but on
 7
    page 22, "Explain the reason for not granting
 8
    permission, when it speaks to "It is sufficient for
 9
    each party to keep written notes. An IA is
10
    conducted by an independent third party, and their
11
    report should fully document the event." That's
12
    additional rationale.
              In an examination, does a claimant
1.3
14
    realistically have the opportunity to keep written
15
    notes?
16
              I don't know.
              So when the doctor is telling the claimant
17
18
    to touch their nose, would the claimant then take a
    note and say, "We" -- "The doctor asked me to touch
19
20
    my nose here"?
21
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
2.2
              I don't know.
23
              No, correct? They would not have an
24
    opportunity to take contemporaneous notes while
25
    being examined by a doctor, correct?
```

```
I think it would depend on the examination
 1
    that was taking place. Some would be more difficult
 2
 3
    than others.
 4
              It would be very odd for somebody to,
 5
    during the middle of an examination, say, "Hold on,
 6
    Doctor. Let me take a note about what you just
 7
    asked me and what I said"?
 8
              Depending on the examination, it would be
    more difficult in some circumstances.
 9
              What examination would that be normal?
10
11
              I can't think of anything specifically,
12
    sitting here.
1.3
              So a physical examination, that would not
14
    be something -- that would be awkward, right?
              As you have outlined it to specifically
15
16
    note every piece of the conversation or every action
    that's being taken, it would be difficult.
17
18
              Okay. An examination is generally a
    stressful event for a claimant, correct?
19
20
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
21
              I don't know. I couldn't speak to that.
2.2
              When your insurer, Unum, orders you to go
23
    to an examination and that examination is going to
24
    determine, to a degree, whether or not your benefits
25
    are going to be continued, that would be a stressful
```

event, correct? 1 2. MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form. 3 Α I don't know. 4 But Unum's position is that a claimant 5 should be sufficiently covered by taking 6 contemporaneous notes of the examination? 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form. 8 I don't believe that that is what I stated 9 with regard to contemporaneous notes. It indicates 10 that the written notes of -- of each party should be 11 sufficient. 12 Actually, if I could go back and clarify my -- my statement, this document refers not only to 13 14 recording independent assessments but also recording 15 conversations. And so that would likely be more 16 appropriate when talking about recording telephone 17 conversations, keeping notes from one side of the 18 telephone call to the other. So that would likely 19 be more appropriate in that conversation rather than 20 independent assessments. And so what would the claimant have to 2.1 2.2 rely on as far as documenting what happened at an examination? 23 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form. 25 You mean besides the medical report?

```
MR. ELLIS: I'm asking the witness.
 1
 2
              The entire nature of the assessment is
 3
    that it is an independent assessment. And so it is
 4
    not -- the physician is not conducting that with a
 5
    bias toward either the claimant or Unum. It's an
 6
    independent assessment. So the medical report
 7
    should be the -- should be a true -- true statement
    of what occurred that the claimant could rely on.
 8
              But the physician is being paid by Unum,
 9
10
    correct?
11
              The physician is being paid by Unum.
12
              And the physician is a doctor that is in
13
    the network of Unum for doctors to send claimants to
14
    for an examination, correct?
15
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Objection. Asked and
16
         answered.
17
              I think that you are mischaracterizing the
18
    network as being in some way affiliated with Unum
19
    rather than a network of physicians who conduct
20
    independent medical examinations.
21
              Unum has the doctor's phone number and
2.2
    uses it to call this doctor and schedule independent
23
    examinations with that doctor on occasion?
24
              I don't know specifically how it's done.
25
    But, yes, they would contact the physician to
```

```
MR. ELLIS: I'm asking the witness.
 1
 2
              The entire nature of the assessment is
 3
    that it is an independent assessment. And so it is
 4
    not -- the physician is not conducting that with a
 5
    bias toward either the claimant or Unum. It's an
 6
    independent assessment. So the medical report
 7
    should be the -- should be a true -- true statement
    of what occurred that the claimant could rely on.
 8
              But the physician is being paid by Unum,
 9
10
    correct?
11
              The physician is being paid by Unum.
12
              And the physician is a doctor that is in
13
    the network of Unum for doctors to send claimants to
14
    for an examination, correct?
15
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Objection. Asked and
16
         answered.
17
              I think that you are mischaracterizing the
18
    network as being in some way affiliated with Unum
19
    rather than a network of physicians who conduct
20
    independent medical examinations.
21
              Unum has the doctor's phone number and
2.2
    uses it to call this doctor and schedule independent
23
    examinations with that doctor on occasion?
24
              I don't know specifically how it's done.
25
    But, yes, they would contact the physician to
```

```
schedule an independent medical exam.
 1
 2
              You also mentioned that the report of the
 3
    physician that Unum hired would fully document the
 4
    event. "Fully document the event" is a very -- very
 5
    large term. Does the doctor document every event
 6
    that happened at the examination?
 7
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 8
              The -- I what intended to say was that the
 9
    medical report, or the report at the end of the IME,
10
    answers the questions asked and indicates the
11
    independent physician's medical judgment and
12
    assessment.
1.3
              And -- sorry. You weren't done.
14
              So not every specific situation, yes.
              So there are going to be findings that are
15
16
    not reported on the examination report?
17
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
18
              Correct?
              I don't know what -- what relevant -- all
19
20
    relevant findings should be included within the
21
    report.
2.2
              And the doctor is the one who is
23
    determining what the relevant findings are, correct?
24
              In most circumstances, yes.
25
              Okay. So the report does not fully
```

```
document everything that occurred during the
 1
 2.
    examination, then?
 3
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 4
              My understanding is that the -- the -- the
    report does not document every single moment of the
 5
 6
    examination.
 7
              And not every finding either, correct?
 8
              I don't know.
              Okay. Unum is the party that has asked
 9
    the doctor to perform specific examinations and
10
11
    answer specific questions, correct?
12
              Correct.
              Okay. And Unum is the entity that is
1.3
    paying the benefit to the claimant, correct?
14
              Correct.
15
    A
16
              And if the examination finding returns a
17
    report that supports a denial by Unum, Unum could
18
    stop paying the benefit, correct?
19
              Unum uses an independent assessment as one
20
    piece of information to determine whether or not
2.1
    benefits are owed under the contract.
2.2
              But if the report supported a denial of
23
    benefits and Unum denied the claim, Unum would no
24
    longer be paying that benefit, correct?
25
              I would say that the -- a denial of a
```

```
claim, again, is a contractual determination.
 1
 2.
    IME is medical information that would go into that
 3
    decision. But if information from the IME supported
 4
    that benefits were no longer contractually owed,
 5
    that -- yes, Unum would go through its appropriate
 6
    process to deny benefits on that claim, however
 7
    circumstances based on the claim being appropriate.
 8
              You would agree that a doctor's choice of
 9
    what findings to include in a report and what
10
    findings to not include in a report could have an
11
    impact on the findings -- the ultimate findings of
12
    the report, correct?
1.3
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
14
              I -- I do not know.
15
              I mean, it's a simple question. If a
    doctor chooses to omit positive findings that would
16
    support the claim and instead only report the
17
    negative findings that would support a denial of a
18
    claim, that would have an impact of the IME report,
19
20
    correct?
21
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
2.2
              While that would have an impact on the
23
    report, as this is an independent assessment, we
24
    would not expect that to be the situation.
25
              But it would have an impact if that did
```

```
1
    occur?
              If that did occur, it could have an
 2.
 3
    impact.
 4
              Okay. And if a doctor wanted to skew the
 5
    report to support a denial, the findings that he put
 6
    in his report, he could actually change the report
 7
    to support a denial, correct?
 8
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 9
              As we're talking about the independent
10
    assessment of a physician who is certifying to be
11
    independent, I would find that a very unlikely
12
    scenario.
              But if he did, a doctor does have the
13
    opportunity to skew the results one way or the
14
    other, correct?
15
16
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
              I would assume that to be the case, but I
17
18
    think we're talking about things that I don't know
19
    about with regard to independent assessment
20
    physicians and how they operate.
21
              Are you aware that Unum was found to use
2.2
    tactics like searching for the right doctor who
    would give Unum the answer it wanted previously?
23
24
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
25
    A
              No, I'm not aware.
```

```
Have you reviewed the Hangarter case where
 1
 2.
    Dr. Richard Feist testified as a former vice
 3
    president?
 4
              I have not.
 5
              Okay. In an ERISA benefit claim, a
 6
    claimant does not usually see a copy of the IME
    until after being denied; is that right?
 7
 8
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 9
              That's correct.
10
              Okay. And that denial could happen weeks
11
    or months after the examination, correct?
12
              The file itself is not provided to the
1.3
    claimant until a denial. So any information,
14
    including the IME, would not be provided in an ERISA
    case after the denial. I -- I don't know the time
15
16
    frame between -- I mean, that would be a very
17
    claim-specific circumstance.
              But it could be a significant period of
18
    time before the claimant reviews the medical
19
20
    examination report?
              As you said, it could be weeks.
2.1
2.2
              And if the claim is on appeal and the
    appeal is denied and it's a final, there would be no
23
24
    opportunity for the claimant to write a letter, put
25
    notes in the claim file that would explain his side
```

```
I would agree that Unum does not want a
 1
 2.
    physician to skew results in one direction or the
 3
    other.
 4
              And so if there is a doctor who is skewing
 5
    his results, do you not think that a recording of
 6
    the examination would influence that doctor to
 7
    correctly report the findings that he made at the
 8
    examination?
 9
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
10
              I think that's a hypothetical situation
11
    that -- I don't know what our position on it would
12
    be. I know what our position on recording is today.
1.3
              Would this be an appropriate time to ask
14
    for a break to grab a glass of water and run to the
    ladies' room?
15
16
                   MR. ELLIS: Sure.
17
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely.
18
                   VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:53 -- or
19
         10:53, and we're going off the record.
20
               (Thereupon, a short break was had.)
2.1
                   VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:58, and
2.2
         we're back on the record.
23
    BY MR. ELLIS:
24
              We were talking about the possibility that
25
    a doctor would attempt to skew the report. Can you
```

```
tell me here that that's not a possibility at all?
 1
 2.
    No doctor would ever do that?
 3
              I can't say with certainty that nobody
 4
    would ever do that.
 5
              If a doctor were to do that, would you
 6
    agree that Unum would have an interest in having a
 7
    complete documented record via video of the
 8
    examination?
 9
              Again, Unum would not want a physician to
10
    skew results one way or the other. But our policy
11
    is that we do not have those entire exams recorded.
12
              But the stated policy was because you're
1.3
    worried that it would be altered. So let's move
14
    beyond that and look at -- the actual question is:
15
    Would Unum have an interest in having the entire
16
    examination recorded if there was a doctor who was
17
    going to skew the results?
18
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
19
              Again, I can't speculate as to that
20
    circumstance when we -- our written procedure is
2.1
    that we do not -- we do not accept requests for
2.2
    recording.
23
              Generally?
24
              Generally.
25
              A video-recording of an examination would
```

```
help in assessing whether or not the examination
 1
 2.
    report was skewed one way or the other, wouldn't it?
 3
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 4
              I think that the other concern that's not
 5
    being discussed with that is how people may alter
 6
    their behavior within the exam itself. So while
 7
    that might -- while you might have a true and
 8
    accurate record of the exam, assuming that it's not
    altered, you have the other concern of people
10
    altering their behavior in the exam itself.
11
              You mentioned before that there are states
12
    that require insurers to allow a witness or somebody
1.3
    to record the examination, right?
14
              Correct.
15
              How many states are there?
16
              That, I don't know.
17
              Do you have a ballpark?
18
              I believe it's a low number, but I don't
19
    have a ballpark.
20
              More than five?
2.1
              I don't know.
2.2
              Okay. But there are states that do
23
    require that?
24
              Correct.
25
              Okay. You would at least agree that a
```

```
claimant has an interest in having a video-recording
 1
 2.
    of an examination if the doctor were to skew the
 3
    report one way or the other?
 4
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 5
              It's very hard for me to speculate on both
 6
    what the claimant wants and the hypothetical that we
 7
    would know that a physician was going to skew
 8
    results.
              I'm not asking you to assume that you
 9
    would know. I'm asking you to assume that there is
10
11
    a doctor, one doctor, that would do that. Would the
12
    claimant have an interest in having that examination
1.3
    recorded?
14
              Speculating, I -- I hate to speculate on
15
    what a claimant would want or would not want, but I
16
    can see that point, yes.
17
              And it would be useful in that situation
18
    to have a recording of the examination?
19
              I don't know.
    Α
20
              You don't know?
21
              It's a hypothetical situation, and I'm
22
    speculating on what a claimant would want, what a
23
    physician would do. I believe I've stated that Unum
24
    certainly doesn't believe and does not want those
25
    reports to be skewed in one way or the other or one
```

```
direction or the other.
 1
 2
              Would you agree that the ERISA statute
 3
    requires a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties
 4
    solely in the interest of the participants and the
 5
    beneficiaries?
 6
              I'm not as familiar sitting with ERISA
 7
    today to know that's specifically what it states.
 8
              Does Unum have to act in the interest of
    its beneficiaries?
 9
10
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
11
              Yes, we do.
    Α
              Okay. And take their interest into
12
    account more than its own interest?
13
14
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
15
              Yes.
    Α
16
              Okay. So if a claimant has an interest in
17
    having the video, should Unum take that into
18
    account?
19
              Again, I -- I would like to -- I believe
20
    that to be correct based on my -- my understanding
21
    of ERISA as I sit here and not speaking for ERISA
2.2
    for the company. But Unum takes claimants'
23
    situations into account, correct, in general and
24
    most circumstances.
25
             Okay. Let's get back to the policy, just
```

```
pages 21 through 23 of Exhibit 3. Thank you.
 1
 2
    Looking at these three pages, is there any reference
    at all to whether or not a claimant should be
 3
 4
    allowed to bring a witness to an examination?
 5
              It's specifically under -- the "Refusal to
 6
    Participate in an Independent Assessment Unless it
 7
    is Recorded" indicates that "Some states have laws
 8
    providing that an insured may have a third party,
    (for example, videographer, transcriptionist, legal
 9
10
    representative) present during an IA."
11
              So it lumps them together there, but I
12
    don't believe elsewhere in the procedure it speaks
1.3
    to a witness.
14
              But it doesn't say anywhere in this
    procedure that it is Unum's policy to not allow a
15
16
    witness to attend an examination, does it?
              I don't believe so.
17
18
              Okay. Does it say that -- does anywhere
    else in Unum's claims manual say that Unum does not
19
20
    allow a witness to attend an examination ordered by
2.1
    Unum?
2.2
              I don't believe it says so specifically.
23
    The intent was to include witnesses, but I don't
    believe it says so specifically in this procedure.
24
25
              What intent?
```

```
The intent that, if a witness were there,
 1
 2
    the expectation would be that they would be taking
 3
    notes or in some way recording the event, which is
 4
    not allowed by our procedure.
 5
              Where?
 6
              I'm sorry?
 7
              Where?
              Well, where it talks about when we ask
 8
 9
    permission to record a conversation, we should
10
    decline the request. So no recording in any way.
11
              It's actually talking about an electronic
12
    recording, isn't it?
              I believe that was the intent of this
1.3
    procedure was talking about electronic recordings,
14
15
    yes.
16
              So let's talk specifically about a
17
    witness. There's nothing in this that says that a
18
    witness is not allowed, correct?
19
              It doesn't say anything specifically about
20
    a witness except for, again, with regard to state
21
    law, which is the exception, which includes allowing
2.2
    witnesses or recordings.
23
              But the witnesses that it specifically
24
    mentions are a videographer, transcriptionist, or
25
    legal representative, not just a witness. They were
```

```
specific people that might be making an electronic
 1
 2
    record of the examination, correct?
 3
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 4
              The first two, certainly. A legal
 5
    representative would likely not be making an
 6
    electronic recording.
 7
              Okay. But, again, nothing in this
    procedure or any other procedure that Unum has
 8
    states specifically that a witness is not allowed in
 9
    an examination hired by Unum?
10
11
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
12
              I don't see anything specific with regard
    to a witness.
13
              And in this procedure, but anywhere else
14
15
    either?
16
              Not that -- that I am aware of.
              Okay. And nothing in the two form letters
17
18
    that we looked at mentioned that either?
19
              No. The form specifically -- that
20
    Number 8 indicates "video, audio, or other recording
21
    methods are to be utilized during the exam." So if
2.2
    a witness were to have a method of recording,
23
    electronic or no, I would assume that that would be
24
    something that Unum would be notified of, as
25
    outlined in the form.
```

```
So Unum does not have a policy that
 1
 2
    excludes or -- sorry. Unum does not have a policy
 3
    that a witness is not allowed in the examination of
 4
    a claimant?
 5
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
 6
              Well, not specifically outlined in this
 7
    procedure document. I believe that that is our --
 8
    is our regular practice.
              But if -- the specialists are not trained
 9
10
    on that, correct?
11
              There's no specific training with regard
12
    to the third party, that I am aware of, that's not
13
    recording.
14
              And the training that you referenced that
    says, "Refer back to the claims manual," if a
15
16
    benefit specialist followed that instruction, they
    would look at a claims manual that did say that a
17
18
    witness is refused, correct?
19
              Again, it doesn't say anything
20
    specifically in this document or the documents in
21
    the claims manual that I'm aware of, but that is our
2.2
    general practice.
23
              How would a disability benefits specialist
24
    or an appeals specialist come to understand that
25
    that is Unum's general practice?
```

```
From work with the independent assessment
 1
 2
    network, from referring independent assessments out.
 3
              How would the independent assessment
    network understand that that is Unum's procedure?
 5
              It is not documented in our claims manual
 6
    practice; so I'm not sure.
 7
              It's a very thorough claims manual,
 8
    correct? I mean . . .
              Covers a number of topics, correct.
10
              In great detail, correct?
11
              Many of those topics are covered in great
12
    detail.
13
              Unum brags to other insurance companies
14
    about their claims manual and how exhaustive it is,
15
    correct?
16
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
17
              That, I don't know.
18
              Really?
              I know that Unum is proud of the benefits
19
20
    in our claims manual that they have. Whether they
21
    brag to other insurers, I don't know.
2.2
              Okay. But your testimony today is that,
23
    even though it's not in the claims manual and
24
    there's no record of it anywhere in any of the
25
    training documents or anywhere on paper at Unum,
```

```
we had to honor that request. And the very specific
 1
 2
    claim scenario, you know, other things they may look
 3
    at is whether the physician would even honor the
 4
    request if we did. So many physicians will not
 5
    allow recordings either. And then they will go to
 6
    the DBS and say whether or not this particular claim
 7
    meets an exception and recording should be allowed.
 8
              Reading the paragraph in sequence, it
    appears to me that before you ever go to the
 9
    potential hired provider, the claimant's request
10
11
    would be granted or denied; is that correct?
              I think in most circumstances that's
12
13
    correct.
14
              So the claimant makes the request, the DBS
15
    and the DLR confer, the request is granted, and then
16
    Unum approaches the hired -- hired doctor; is that
17
    right?
18
              In most circumstances -- again, first, we
19
    would look at state law. And then, in most
20
    circumstances -- not every, but in most
21
    circumstances, it would be declined. If it was
22
    approved, yes, it appears from the documentation the
23
    IA provider would -- would be advised, and that
24
    request would go to the IA provider.
25
              I believe that those may be
```

```
contemporaneous. I understand the document says,
 1
 2
    you know, this will happen first, and then the IA
 3
    will be consulted next. There may be
 4
    circumstances -- again, the DLR is looking at the
 5
    specific claim where it may be a contemporaneous
 6
    conversation.
 7
              But it says specifically that the
    claimant's request is granted before it even
 8
    mentions the medical professional that Unum hired.
 9
    So you can assume, based on the language here, that
10
11
    Unum has already made a decision that it will grant
12
    or deny the request at the time that they approach
    the physician.
1.3
14
              Yes. I think that presumes, though --
15
    because it first says, "Consult a DLR to assess the
16
    appropriateness of the request and determine next
17
    steps."
18
              There may be circumstances where the IA
19
    provider is part of that conversation of
20
    appropriateness and -- and determining next steps.
21
    If not, if that wasn't part of the DLR assessment,
2.2
    then, certainly, after the request is granted, we
23
    would have to determine that the IA provider would
24
    accept that, would abide by a recording.
```

Okay. And we'll get to that in just a

25

```
second. Let's go back to what you said:
 1
 2
    states have laws providing an insured may have a
    third party. It doesn't say in this procedure that
 3
 4
    the only time that a request is granted is when the
    state has a law about that issue, right? It just
 5
 6
    says that that is an example of when a request would
    be granted, correct?
 7
 8
              Correct.
              Okay. So this is certainly not an
 9
    exclusive example of when a request is granted?
10
11
              It's by far the most common, but it's not
    an exclusive list, correct.
12
13
              Okay. So if the request is granted by
14
    Unum and the potential IA provider -- the provider
15
    that Unum is potentially going to hire has a problem
16
    with letting the recording go forward, what is the
17
    procedure?
18
              It would depend on the circumstances of
19
    the claim. If it were based on state law, it would
20
    probably be unlikely that the provider would turn
21
    down the request as well. So it's -- generally, if
2.2
    we're doing this because of state law, then the
23
    provider would be bound by the state -- the same
24
    state law. If there are those rare circumstances
25
    where an IA provider would not do so and were not
```

```
bound by state law, I don't know -- I don't know
 1
 2.
    what would happen in those particular circumstances,
 3
    because they are so rare.
 4
              We've, at that point, picked the
 5
    independent assessment provider because of their
 6
    speciality, because of their location, because of a
 7
    number of other reasons. And, according to the
 8
    policy, we have the right to do so. So it -- I
 9
    don't know what would happen -- happen in those
10
    circumstances.
11
              But at this point, based on the policy or
12
    the language in the policy -- I'm sorry -- in the
    claims manual, it says that "a potential IA
13
14
    provider." So it's not final, correct?
              The potential IA provider, correct.
15
16
              He hasn't been signed on or hasn't -- you
17
    haven't paid him at this point, correct?
18
              I don't know at what point in the process
    Α
19
    the payment happens. I would assume it would be the
    end of the process.
20
              But he's at least still -- based on the
21
2.2
    language used in your claims manual, he's still a
23
    potentiality?
              It would appear so, yes.
24
25
              Okay. And -- and that's after the request
```

```
has been granted or denied, right? He's still a
 1
 2.
    potentiality after it has been granted?
              I guess I'm not sure of the -- the -- yes.
 3
    Until the exam takes place, an independent
 4
    assessment provider is potential.
 5
 6
              So if Unum grants somebody's request to
    have the examination recorded and then the doctor it
 7
    would potentially like to hire decides that he is
 8
    not going to be willing to accommodate the request
 9
10
    that Unum has already granted, Unum could go and get
    another doctor -- another potential doctor who is?
11
12
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
              I don't know what we would do in that
13
14
    circumstance, because, again, we've chosen this --
15
    that particular provider based on their speciality,
16
    location, the fact there's no conflict of interest
17
    with the claimant, a number of factors. So I -- and
18
    as we have the right under the policy to choose a
19
    provider, I don't know what would happen in those
20
    circumstances if not bound by state law.
21
              But he's still a potential provider,
2.2
    though, at that time. He's not somebody that's
23
    actually contracted to do the job yet at that point?
24
              Until an examination takes place, I
25
    would -- I would consider any provider a potential
```

```
1
    provider.
 2
              They don't sign anything to say, "I agree
 3
    to take this. I agree to do this examination for
    Unum"?
 4
              I believe that occurs. I don't know what
 5
 6
    point in the process that occurs.
 7
              After Unum has granted the request or
    denied the request?
 8
              My understanding is that until -- I would
 9
    assume that that would have to be after we have
10
11
    determined whether a recording were to take place.
12
    Again, as most of those exceptions are based on
13
    state law, it's likely that the provider would be
14
    bound by the same state law.
15
              So, then, you would agree that the fact
16
    that this phrase is in there, "If the claimant
17
    request is granted, the potential IA provider will
18
    also need to be advised and provide a comment, " that
19
    the scenario comes up when it's not a state-law
20
    issue?
21
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
2.2
              I'm not -- I'm not aware of any specific
23
    claim scenarios that have come up outside of the
24
    state law requirement. There may be those. I don't
25
    know about them.
```

```
If the provider says that he's not
 1
 2
    willing, Unum could go and find a different provider
 3
    that is, correct?
              I believe that they certainly could do
 4
 5
    that. I don't know whether they would do that, as
 6
    they don't have to do that under the policy.
 7
              So they could overturn their grant of the
 8
    request? Is that what you're saying?
 9
              Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. They could if not
10
    required to do so by state law. If the provider
11
    that was chosen based on the criteria that the
12
    provider met would not approve of the request, that
13
    could happen.
14
              Because the policy or the claims manual
15
    has the very definitive past tense "is granted."
                                                       Ιt
16
    doesn't say anything about Unum overturning that
17
    grant in the claims manual, does it?
18
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Object to the form.
              There's nothing specific, but the claims
19
20
    manual request is granted, meaning that we've agreed
21
    to the claimant's request. I think that there are
2.2
    circumstances -- there could be circumstances where,
23
    if the provider said that they do not agree and they
24
    will not grant that request, then I don't know what
25
    happens in those circumstances.
```

```
But if you would go to the reference, "Please refer
 1
    any questions to your manager, " that was the
 2
 3
    expectation, is that the DBS would go to their
 4
    manager, who you would help them to identify the
 5
    most appropriate resources.
              Okay. Let's go to Topic 9. I'm going to
 6
    skip 8, because we've covered that before. "Whether
 7
    Unum allows claimants to have IMEs recorded and/or
 8
    witnessed and under what circumstances this is
 9
    allowed or disallowed."
10
11
              So we've established that Unum does allow
    examinations to be recorded under certain
12
    circumstances, correct?
1.3
              There are certain circumstances, correct.
14
              One of those circumstances would be if
15
16
    state law requires it, correct?
17
              I would say that's by far the most common
18
    exception circumstance.
19
              Okay. Outside of state law, what other
20
    circumstances would Unum consider to be a valid
21
    circumstance to lead it to grant the request?
2.2
              I don't know of any other claim-specific
23
    circumstances that I'm aware of that -- where this
24
    is allowed, where this is permitted for -- as I
25
    stated, you know, in developing this procedure, the
```

```
us to grant the request for recording. So I think
 1
    that that is the most common overriding factor that
 2
    the DLR would be concerned with, "Was the state
 3
 4
    involved?"
 5
              And we've gone over that. That's
 6
    certainly a concern of Unum. It's not going to
 7
    violate state law. But there's other factors.
 8
    There's other grants of having the examination
    recorded. So that's what I'm trying to get at is:
 9
10
    What would lead Unum to grant those? We know they
11
    occur, correct?
12
              I -- the procedure allows for that to
    occur. I don't know of any specific claims where it
13
14
    has or hasn't, but the procedure certainly allows
    for it to happen.
15
16
              Okay. So it's not against the procedure
17
    for Unum to grant the request to have the
18
    examination recorded?
19
              After a review with the DLR.
20
              Okay. And the procedure specifically
21
    mentions that "Each Claim is unique, and you should
2.2
    consult the policy terms." Correct?
23
    Α
              Correct.
24
              Okay. Who has to approve a request to
25
    record or have an examination witnessed?
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF HAMILTON

I, Janet P. Tilley, do hereby certify that the foregoing videotaped 30(b)(6) deposition was stenographically recorded by me as stated in the

8 | caption; LAURA KILMARTIN was duly sworn by me; that

9 pages 1 to 85, inclusive, were reduced to

10 typewriting under my direction and supervision; and

11 the deposition is a true and correct record, to the

best of my ability, of the testimony/evidence given

13 | by the deponent.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the action. All rates charged are usual and customary.

STATE
OF
TENNESSEE Jan t P. Tilley,
PUBLIC I.CE and Notary Public.
ON COMMISSION Expires: 3/7/2021
Tennessee LCR Number: 020

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE CHATTANOOGA DIVISION

LISA A. HOUSE,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-220

UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, and UNUM GROUP CORP.,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION NOTICE

Unum Life Insurance Company of America and Unum Group Corp. To:

By and through its counsel of record James T. Williams, BPR# 16341 james.williams@millermartin.com 832 Georgia Avenue, Suite 1200 Chattanooga, TN 37402-2289

Facsimile: (423) 785-8480

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION I.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the Plaintiff, pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, will take the deposition of the Designated Representative(s) of the Defendants at the offices of Miller & Martin, located at 832 Georgia Ave., 12th Floor, Chattanooga, TN 37402 on the date of April 17, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. before a Certified Court Reporter or Deposition Officer authorized to administer oaths and record the taking of such testimony. This deposition will be recorded by audiovisual means with a simultaneous record being made. The deposition will continue from day to day until it is completed.

AREAS OF TESTIMONY Α.

The Defendant is directed to "designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on [the defendant's] behalf," and furthermore provide designations as to the "matters on which the persons will testify." The person(s) designated for the following areas shall be those person(s)

most knowledgeable and able to testify as to the following matters known or reasonably available to the Defendant.

The following is a description of the matters and areas for examination of the designated person(s):

- 6. The interpretation of the policy language at issue regarding the scheduling and conducting of IMEs ordered by Unum.
- 7. Defendants' policies, procedures, and/or training materials related to recording and/or witnessing IMEs.
- 8. Training given to employees regarding claimants' requests to have IMEs recorded and/or witnessed.
- 9. Whether Unum allows claimants to have IMEs recorded and/or witnessed and under what circumstances this is allowed or disallowed.

II. PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO RULE 30.02(5)

For any documents produced at the date and location of the deposition, the deponent (or deponents) are requested to produce two complete COPIES of any and all documents reviewed in preparation for the deposition, including documents used in the claims processing procedure generally and specifically in this case, the claim file, and any other documents deponents based their statements on during the claims process and that they may base their statements on at the times and place indicated for the above depositions.

Submitted this 28th day of February, 2018.

ERIC BUCHANAN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

BY: /s/Hudson T. Ellis Hudson T. Ellis (#028330) 414 McCallie Avenue Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402 (423) 634-2506 (423) 634-2505 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on February 28, 2018 this document, Plaintiff's 30(b)(6) Notice of Deposition, was sent via US mail and via electronic mail to the following:

James T. Williams, james.williams@millermartin.com 832 Georgia Avenue, Suite 1200 Chattanooga, TN 37402-2289 Facsimile: (423) 785-8480

> BY: /s/Hudson T. Ellis Hudson T. Ellis (#028330)